

# ***Presentation of Citizen Comments to the Basin Commission Board***

***January 27, 2010***

## **Written Comments**

No written comments were provided at the CCC meeting

## **Verbal Comments**

Verbal comments provided at the January 27, 2010 CCC meeting are reflected in the CCC meeting summary and paraphrased below.

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Commenter</b>
Deciding what portion of the expanded Big Creek Repository is for the ICP should be one of the first decisions.	<i>Rusty Sheppard, CCC Member</i>
For the Upper Basin siting process, the public should be brought into the discussions early on.	<i>Julie Dalsaso, CCC Member</i>
The public seems fatigued with the issues. New outreach staff at IDEQ could help the public get more involved in this process.	<i>Kenny Hicks, TLG Vice-Chair</i>
I represent seven people that were not able to come to this CCC meeting.	<i>Laurie Jenicek, Basin resident</i>
People should be able to talk directly with the ASARCO settlement trustee, rather than going through EPA.	<i>W.C. Rust, CCC Member</i>
Citizens don't think there is a reason to be present at these kinds of meetings because they don't feel like they can influence what is happening with the cleanup. If citizens are just talked at—rather than being asked to contribute— then they will not feel interested or necessary.	<i>Laurie Jenicek, Basin resident</i>
There is a lot of information and materials already available about blood lead testing, they just need to be presented. With any kind of education, not everyone has heard the message, so you need to just keep saying the same thing to a targeted group over and over.	<i>Vera Williams, CCC Member</i>
Terry should talk with the local environmental groups about why they are not coming to CCC meetings. <i>(Terry responded that he had talked with a few groups, and they indicated that they did not have any issues and did not see the need to come.)</i>	<i>W.C. Rust, CCC Member</i>
At CCC meetings, the open comment period for citizens should be moved to the beginning of the meeting.	<i>Laurie Jenicek, Basin resident</i>

**Comments****Commenter**

I am looking for guidance from the BEIPC for county commissioners on how to leverage monitoring results to influence land use decisions for areas that are “hot,” such as lake marina sediments that are now going to the dump and the permitting of Coeur d’Alene River Estates. A lot of the times, county commissioners do not have the background on the Clean Water Act, remediation, and floodplain contamination issues. This information is needed, and that there seems to be a disconnect between the BEIPC and the work it does and the county commissioners.

*Julie Dalsaso, CCC  
Member*